

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Potent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,730		10/19/2001	Paul Arthur Mason	10071-018-999	9664
20583	7590	03/26/2003			
PENNIE AND EDMONDS				EXAMINER	
1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100362711				GHALI, ISIS A D	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1615	
				DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
	10/045,730	MASON, PAUL ARTHUR	MASON, PAUL ARTHUR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Isis Ghali	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	_				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
<u> </u>	—— This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allocalosed in accordance with the practice unde			•				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the applicati							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> are subject to restriction and/c <b>Application Papers</b>	or election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc		v the Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	•						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docume							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E     See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p	•						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s</li> </ol>	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1615

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The receipt is acknowledged of applicants' declaration and request for extension of time, both filed 05/07/2002; and IDS, filed 04/22/2002.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-43, drawn to patch and packaged patch comprising
     polyvinylpyrrolidone-based hydrogel comprising local anesthetic and
     coated on a breathable backing; and method of its use, classified in class
     424, subclass 449.
  - II. Claims 44-53, drawn to a polyvinylpyrrolidone-based hydrogel comprising local anesthetic, classified in class 424, subclass 70.15.

### The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as hydrogel per se or as a base for any topical formulation, such as ointment, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious

Art Unit: 1615

variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Should the applicants elect Group I, the following election of species is required:

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: method:
  - a) method of inducing local anesthesia, claim 22, and
  - b) method of treating pain associated with a non-intact skin, claim 33.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Page 4

Should the applicants elect Group I or II, the following election of species is required:

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: local anesthetic:

- a) sodium channel blocker,
- b) antidepressant,
- c) NMDA receptor antagonist, and
- d) opioid.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, and 44 are generic.

7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1615

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 5

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to the applicant's agent to request oral election was not made. See MPEP, Sec.812.01.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isis Ghali whose telephone number is (703) 305-4048.

Art Unit: 1615

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM, Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Isis Ghali Examiner Art Unit 1615

sin ghali

Page 6